

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,518	03/01/2004	James L. Barnard	10,158	1047
75	590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
John C. McMahon PO Box 30069			PRINCE, FRED G	
Kansas City, MO 64112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• /			1724	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,518	BARNARD, JAMES L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fred Prince	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-9,11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 13,16 and 17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-9,11,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o are subject to restriction and/o are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the fidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-9, 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant recites in claim 7 that influent passes into a first anaerobic region "without prior aerobic treatment". There is no disclosure in the original specification that there is no aerobic treatment prior to influent flowing into a first aerobic region. In the instant specification applicant discloses that prior to flowing to a first anaerobic region the influent is passed to a waste water treatment plant (page 14, lines 14-20). Again, it is respectfully submitted that applicant makes no mention of a treatment that does not occur in the waste water treatment plant or elsewhere prior to flowing the water into the anaerobic region. Further, it is noted that applicant fails to provide in the specification any advantage or benefit derived from avoiding aerobic treatment prior to anaerobic treatment. Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso in the claims must have basis in the original disclosure (see MPEP 2173.05(i)).

Claims 8-9, 14 and 15 are rejected as depending from a rejected claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9, 11, 14 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated y applicant's amendment.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 13, 16 and 17 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 7-9, 11, 14 and 15 would be allowable if applicant overcomes the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action and is able to show support for the recitation "without prior aerobic treatment". The instant inventions provide the benefits noted in the Remarks received by the Office on December 5, 2005.

Per claims 16-17, the claims are allowed for the reasons presented by the Examiner in the Action mailed September 22, 2005 and the reasons provided by applicant in the Remarks received by the Office on December 5, 2005.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

fgp 1/11/06